1 2		STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD			
3 4 5	Docke	et No. 7032			
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	("VEI ("GM Depar good, author Moret side si Duxbi transn kV su Stowe miles	Petitions of Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. "VELCO"), Green Mountain Power Corporation "GMP"), and the Town of Stowe Electric Department ("Stowe") and for a certificate of public good, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. Section 248, authorizing VELCO to upgrade a substation in Moretown, Vermont; construct .3 miles of side by side single pole tap; construct a switching station in Duxbury, Vermont; construct 9.4 miles of 115 kV ransmission line; upgrade an existing GMP 34.5 kV subtransmission line; construct a substation in Stowe, Vermont; and for Stowe to construct 1.05 miles of 34.5 kV subtransmission line in Stowe, Vermont.			
20 21 22	<u>VER</u>	RMONT AGENCY OF NATURAL RESOURCES' PREFILED TESTIMONY OF JOHN AUSTIN			
23 24	Q1.	Please state your name, business address and occupation.			
25	A1.	My name is John Austin. I am a wildlife biologist with the Vermont Fish and			
26		Wildlife Department. My business address is 5 Perry Street, Suite 40, Barre, VT			
27		05641.			
28	Q2.	Please describe your educational background and relevant work experience.			
29	A2.	I am employed by the Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife as a Wildlife			
30		Biologist. My primary responsibilities are to represent the Department's interests			
31		in land use regulatory matters that effect wildlife habitat. I serve as chair to the			
32		Department's Habitat Assessment Team whose purpose it is to address the			
33		Department's interests in regulatory matters through development of policy			
34		proposals, environmental review guidelines, etc. I also serve as a member of the			
35		Department's Waterfowl Management Team and work on issues related to the			

in Wildlife Biology and an M.S. degree from the Vermont Law School in Environmental Law and Policy. I have worked as a wildlife biologist for the U.S.D.A. Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, as a technician for the Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife, and as a Research Biologist for the University of Vermont. I have also been a selfemployed environmental consultant. I have conducted research on wildlife species such as red squirrel behavior and habitat selection through radiotelemetry, the effects of snapping turtle predation on waterfowl production on the Mississquoi National Wildlife Refuge, the use of registered toxicants for rodent control in apple orchards, and the application of the federal Clean Water Act for Fish and Wildlife habitat protection in the FERC relicensing process. I am currently coordinating two research projects involving the effects of ski area development on the use of habitat by black bears in Jay, Vermont, and wildlife use of a transportation underpass using infra-red photography technology in Essex, Vermont. I have been involved in many cases related to the protection of wetland habitat for wildlife in the CUD process. I am a Certified Wildlife Biologist and an active member of The Wildlife Society. I am certified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in Habitat Evaluation Procedures, certified by the U.S.E.P.A. in Wetlands Identification, and certified

by the Institute for Participatory Management and Planning in Management

Leadership. I have attached my resume to this testimony. (Exhibit ANR JA-1).

management of state lands. I hold a B.S. degree from the University of Vermont

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	Q3.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
2	A3.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Agency's position on the proposed
3		Project with respect to the impact to necessary wildlife habitats.
4	Q4.	Are you familiar with the proposed Project?
5	A4.	Yes. I am familiar with the Project and have reviewed VELCO's prefiled
6		testimony as it related to impacts to necessary wildlife habitat.
7	Q5.	Could you explain how the Agency identifies a necessary wildlife habitat?
8	A5.	We identify areas of necessary wildlife habitat through the application of digital
9		habitat data and through field investigations. Deer winter habitat, certain
10		wetlands, black bear feeding habitat, black bear travel corridors, heron rookeries,
11		and habitat for rare, threatened and endangered species are all identified through
12		field investigations, evidence of use by the associated species of concern or
13		interest, and then through mapping to delineate that habitat.
14	Q6.	Do you believe has VELCO applied the appropriate definition and utilized it
15		in determining what necessary wildlife habitats are potentially impacted by
16		the project?
17	A6.	Yes, the Department is of the opinion that VELCO has appropriately identified
18		necessary wildlife habitat associated with the proposed project.
19	Q7.	Do you believe that the Project, if permitted, would impact any necessary
20		wildlife habitat?
21	A7.	Yes. The Applicant has identified significant deer winter habitat associated with
22		the project route and proposes to directly impact approximately 5.7 acres of that
23		habitat. The Department considers deer winter habitat as a critical habitat for the

1		survival of Whitewhite-tailed deer in Vermont and has been considered
2		"necessary wildlife habitat," as defined by Act 250, for over two decades.
3		Incremental loss of this habitat is significant for the state's ability to maintain a
4		viable deer population and accommodate the public's interests in the deer
5		resource.
6	Q8.	Are there any measures you would require in order to address the impact of
7		the loss of the deer wintering area?
8	A8.	Yes. The Department considers 5.7 acres of direct impact to deer winter habitat as
9		significant and, depending on the site-specific circumstances, may require
10		additional consideration and mitigation. The Department typically recommends
11		avoidance and minimization of impacts to the extent possible, and compensation
12		for unavoidable impacts. Compensation is typically accomplished by the
13		permanent protection of deer winter habitat of equal or greater quality than the
14		habitat impacted by the project. The Department, through the application of its
15		Guidelines for the Review and Mitigation of Impacts to Deer Winter Habitat in
16		Vermont, requires compensation for the unavoidable loss of this habitat. (ANR
17		JA-2). Compensation is performed at a 2:1 ratio for lands considered to be on-site,
18		and at a 4:1 ratio for lands considered to be off-site.
19	Q9.	Are there any additional means of mitigating the effects of the impact of the
20		Project?
21	A9.	Other forms of mitigation for impacts to deer winter habitat associated with
22		electric powerline projects has included: (1) establishment of travel corridors to
23		off-set the fragmenting effects of the power line corridor on the habitat and the

PSB Docket No.7032 Prefiled Direct Testimony of John Austin Page 5 of 5 April 11, 2005

1		ability of deer to move within the habitat during winter months; (2) habitat
2		enhancement; and (3) restrictions on the modes of access for winter maintenance
3		along those portions of the power line corridor within deer winter habitat.
4		
5		In this case, the Department believes it is necessary to visit the sites of the
6		proposed impact areas before offering a final opinion regarding whether the
7		impacts are significant and to what extent mitigation may be required.
8	Q10.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9	A10.	Yes it does.
10		
11		